

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02105 171608Z

43

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ACDA-05 ISO-00 EB-07 ERDA-05 H-02 SSO-00

NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 SS-15 NSC-05

EURE-00 ERDE-00 NRC-05 /084 W  
----- 057251

O P 171510Z APR 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1266

INFO USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY

USDEL MBFR VIENNA PRIORITY

S E C R E T USNATO 2105

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: POLADS DISCUSSION ON NON-USE ASSURANCES AND NUCLEAR FREE  
ZONE (NFZS)

REF: A. USNATO 2011

B. STATE 085195 (NOTAL)

GENEVA PASS CCD

1. ON APRIL 15, POLADS DISCUSSED ISSUES OF ASSURANCES OF NON-USE OF  
NUCLEAR WEAPONS BY THE NUCLEAR POWERS AND NUCLEAR FREE ZONES(NFZS).  
NETHERLANDS REP (HORAK) REPAEATED ARGUMENTS MADE DURING APRIL 11  
POLADS (PARAS 2 AND 3, REF A). THE HAGUE IS CONVINCED THAT YUGOSLAVIA

,  
AMONG OTHERS, WILL RAISE ISSUE OF NON-USE ASSURANCES BY NUCLEAR POWER  
S

DURING FORTHCOMING NPT REVIEW CONFERENCE (REVCON) IN GENEVA. DUTCH  
BELIEVE NATO MUST BEGIN TO CONSIDER POSSIBLE RESPONSE ON THIS  
ISSUE IMMEDIATELY; TO WAIT FOR REVCON WILL ONLY "WASTE THREE WEEKS".  
NEHTERLANDS REP FURTHER SUGGESTED POLADS DROP CONSIDERATION OF NFZS  
IN ORDER TO CONCENTRATE ON EXAMINATION OF NON-USE ASSURANCES FORMULAE  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 02105 171608Z

M

2. UK REP (MARGETSON) SAID HIS AUTHORITIES DO NOT BELIEVE POLADS  
POSSESS SUFFICIENT EXPERTISE TO CONSIDER THIS ISSUE AND THAT NATO CAC

US

AT REVCON WOULD BE BEFFER FORUM. TURKISH REP (ERALP), UNDER INSTRUCTION, CONFIRMED HIS EARLIER VIEW THAT THE POLADS IS NOT AN APPROPRIATE FORUM TO DISCUSS THESE ISSUES. NORWEGIAN REP (CAARDER) SAID OSLO BELIEVES IT BETTER TO CONSULT IN A NATO CAUCUS AT REVCON; HOWEVER, OSLO HAS NO STRONG OBJECTIONS TO POLADS DISCUSSION OF ISSUES IF OTHERS INSIST.

3. ITALIAN REP (TESTORI) SAID ROME CAN ACCEPT DISCUSSION IN POLADS. ITALIANS WANT NATO INTERNATIONAL STAFF (IMS) TO STUDY IMPLICATIONS OF THESE ISSUES FOR NATO STRATEGIC PLANNING. FRG REP (CITRON) SAID HIS AUTHORITIES SUPPORT DUTCH PROPOSAL FOR POLADS DISCUSSION AND ITALIAN SUGGESTION FOR IMS STUDY.

4. FRENCH REP (BEAUCHATAUD) SAID PARIS HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO A DISCUSSION OF THESE ISSUES BY THE OTHER ALLIES IN THE POLITICAL COMMITTEE; HOWEVER, THE FRENCH BELIEVE THE REVCON TO BE A MORE APPROPRIATE FORUM AND WILL NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE POLADS DISCUSSION NOR NECESSARILY BE BOUND BY ANY CONCLUSIONS REACHED.

5. DANISH REP (VILLADSEN) PERSONALLY SUPPORTED NETHERLANDS PROPOSAL TO DISCUSS ISSUES IN POLADS, BUT OBJECTED TO SUGGESTION THAT THE NFZS ISSUE BE DROPPED FROM CONSIDERATION. TURKISH REP AND THE IS/DISARMAMENT AND CONTROL SECTION REP (PABSCH) AGREED THAT THE POLADS SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER NFZS.

6. U.S. REP (LEDOGAR), DRAWING ON PARA 1 REF B, SAID USG DOES NOT OBJECT TO EXCHANGE OF VIEWS BY POLADS. U.S. IS CURRENTLY REVIEWING THESE ISSUES AND WOULD APPRECIATE FULL ELABORATION OF ALLIED VIEWS.

7. CANADIAN REP (FOWELL) SUPPORTED DUTCH PROPOSAL TO DISCUSS ISSUES IN POLADS. OTTAWA HOPES NO ALLIES WILL GO TO GENEVA REVCON WITH MINDS MADE UP AGAINST NON-USE ASSURANCES. CANADIAN REP ALSO SUPPORTED SUGGESTION THAT NFZS BE DROPPED FROM CONSIDERATION.

8. POLADS DECIDED TO TAKE UP ISSUES OF NON-USE ASSURANCES AND NFZS  
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 02105 171608Z

UNDER SEPARATE SUB-HEADINGS AT APRIL 22 POLADS. IMS WAS TASKED TO BEGIN PREPARATION OF SHORT PAPER ON THE IMPLICATIONS OF NON-USE ASSURANCES FOR NATO STRATEGY.

9. ACTION REQUEST: MISSION WOULD APPRECIATE FURTHER GUIDANCE FOR USE IN APRIL 22 POLADS BASED UPON ABOVE EXCHANGE OF VIEWS. IF NOT AVAILABLE, MISSION WILL CONTINUE TO BE GUIDED BY REF B.  
BRUCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>



## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 17 APR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** CunninFX  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO02105  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197504101/abbrzjla.tel  
**Line Count:** 106  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. USNATO 2011 B. STATE 085195 (NOTAL)  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** CunninFX  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <16 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** POLADS DISCUSSION ON NON-USE ASSURANCES AND NUCLEAR FREE ZONE (NFZS)  
**TAGS:** PARM, NATO  
**To:** STATE INFO GENEVA  
MBFR VIENNA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006